



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/844,048 | 04/27/2001 | Hidekazu Nakai | 7217/64308 | 2697 |
| 7590 09/09/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| COOPER & DUNHAM LLP | | | DU, THUAN N | |
| 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ,, | 10000 | | 2116 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/844,048 | NAKAI, HIDEKAZU | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thuan N. Du | 2116 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A | April 2001. | | | | | |
| ·= · | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | ' _ | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-15,17,18,21 and 22 is/are rejection of the company of the c | ected. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E |)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been in u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | oplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date | | | | |
| Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. | | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/844,048

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Priority document (dated 4/27/01), IDS (dated 5/6/02) and Preliminary Amendment (dated 3/4/02).

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 20. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/844,048

Art Unit: 2116

- 5. Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 13-15, 17, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al. [Ishikawa] (U.S. Patent No. 4,689,698) (submitted by applicant on 5/6/02).
- 6. Regarding claims 1 and 17, Ishikawa teaches an information processing system [Fig. 1] comprising:

a first information processing apparatus (apparatus 1) and at least a second information processing apparatus (host 15) which are connected so as to be capable of performing communication [Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 54-57, 59-62];

wherein said first information processing apparatus comprises:

a power-supply unit (main battery 10) capable of supplying internal power using at least a battery [col. 4, lines 17-20];

an information transmitter for transmitting power-supply information (power drop signal) [col. 4, lines 30-35]; and

said second information processing apparatus comprises:

a controller for performing control a predetermined operation in the information processing system based on the power-supply condition [col. 4, lines 62-64; col. 5, lines 4-12].

Ishikawa does not explicitly teach a power-supply information creation unit for creating power supply information in which predetermined information about said power-supply unit is stored. However, Ishikawa discloses a comparator which compares the voltage level of the main power supply with the reference voltage [col. 4, lines 20-30]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would have been obvious to store main power level value

Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

(predetermined information about said power-supply unit) in the comparator in order to compare the voltage level of the main power supply with the reference voltage. Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art could call the comparator in a different name such as power-supply information creation unit since the comparator stores predetermined information about said power-supply unit.

- 7. Regarding claims 2 and 3, Ishikawa teaches that the information processing system further comprises a recording and/or reproducing unit for recording data into an information recording medium and/or for reading data from the information recording medium [col. 3, line 58 to col. 4, line 16].
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Ishikawa teaches that the second information processing apparatus controls the operation of the first information processing apparatus based on the received power-supply information [col. 4, lines 62-64; col. 5, lines 4-12].
- 9. Regarding claims 5 and 22, even though not shown by Ishikawa, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would have been obvious to use external input devices (mouse, keyboard, etc.) for inputting command by user.
- 10. Regarding claim 6, Ishikawa teaches that the power-supply information creation unit determines a time for which operation can be continued (when the power level of the main power supply does not drop below a predetermined threshold) [col. 4, lines 27-30].

Application/Control Number: 09/844,048

Art Unit: 2116

- 11. Regarding claims 8 and 18, Ishikawa teaches that (in another embodiment) a warning is issued by the second information processing apparatus when a remaining battery level drops below a predetermined threshold [col. 6, lines 51-57].
- 12. Regarding claim 10, Ishikawa teaches an information processing apparatus comprising: a connector for connecting with at least a second information processing apparatus so to be capable of performing communication [Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 54-57, 59-62];

a power-supply unit (main battery 10) capable of supplying internal power using at least a battery [col. 4, lines 17-20];

an information transmitter for transmitting power-supply information (power drop signal) [col. 4, lines 30-35]; and

a controller (CPU 6) capable of controlling internal operation based on received control information transmitted from said second information processing apparatus [col. 4, lines 59-65].

Ishikawa does not explicitly teach a power-supply information creation unit for creating power supply information in which predetermined information about said power-supply unit is stored. However, Ishikawa discloses a comparator which compares the voltage level of the main power supply with the reference voltage [col. 4, lines 20-30]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would have been obvious to store main power level value (predetermined information about said power-supply unit) in the comparator in order to compare the voltage level of the main power supply with the reference voltage. Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art could call the comparator in a different name such as power-supply

Art Unit: 2116

information creation unit since the comparator stores predetermined information about said power-supply unit.

- 13. Regarding claim 11, Ishikawa teaches that the power-supply information creation unit determines a time for which operation can be continued (when the power level of the main power supply does not drop below a predetermined threshold) [col. 4, lines 27-30].
- 14. Regarding claim 13, Ishikawa does not explicitly teach that the predetermined information has a validity flag indicating validity/invalidity of information content thereof. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the recognized that the result of the comparison could be used as a validity flag indicating validity/invalidity of the information (sufficient/not sufficient power).
- 15. Regarding claim 14, Official Notice has taken that temperature sensor is well known in the art for detecting the temperature of a system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Ishikawa to include a temperature sensor to detect the temperature of the system. The modification would increase the reliability of the system by allowing the system to take an appropriate action when the temperature of the system is detected higher that a predetermined threshold.
- 16. Regarding claim 15, Ishikawa teaches that the information processing apparatus further comprises a recording and/or reproducing unit for recording data into an information recording

Application/Control Number: 09/844,048 Page 7

Art Unit: 2116

medium and/or for reading data from the information recording medium [col. 3, line 58 to col. 4, line 16].

- 17. Claims 7 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al. [Ishikawa] (U.S. Patent No. 4,689,698) and Matsuoka (U.S. Patent No. 5,944,828).
- 18. Regarding claims 7 and 12, Ishikawa does not explicitly teach the used-power-supply type is identified.

Matsuoka teaches that the used-power-supply type is identified to be either a battery or an AC power supply [col. 4, lines 18-24].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Ishikawa to include a detection unit to detect the used-power-supply type. The modification would increase the performance of the system by allowing the system to operate at a highest speed when the used-power-supply type is detected to be an AC power supply.

Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claims 9, 16, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 8

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292 (after 10/14/04, (571) 272-3673). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159 (after 10/14/04, (571) 272-3670).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Thuan N. Du

September 4, 2004